Notice

This Report to Congress has been subjected to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) review process and approved for publication as an EPA document. For further information about this Report, contact the Policy and Analysis Staff in the Office of Program Management, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response at (202) 260-2182. Individual copies of the Report can be obtained from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by writing to: NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, or calling (703) 487-4650.

Foreword

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) continued its progress in protecting public health, welfare, and the environment through the Superfund program in fiscal year 1992 (FY92). As the Superfund program reached its twelfth year, the Agency had begun work at nearly 96 percent of the 1,275 sites on the National Priorities List (NPL). (These 1,275 NPL sites include 1,150 general or non-federal sites and 125 federal facility sites.) EPA is pleased to submit this Report documenting the fiscal year's achievements.

Section 301(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, or Superfund), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, requires the Agency to report annually on response activities and accomplishments and to compare remedial and enforcement activities with those undertaken in previous fiscal years. As a result of emphasis on remedial construction, 88 NPL sites were placed in the construction completion category during the fiscal year, bringing the program total to 149 sites. The Agency also started nearly 90 remedial investigation/feasibility studies, more than 170 remedial designs (RDs), and more than 110 remedial actions (RAs) during the fiscal year.

EPA has continued its successful efforts to compel potentially responsible parties (PRPs) to clean up hazardous waste sites. PRPs began more than 70 percent of the RDs and RAs started in FY92. EPA entered into 241 enforcement agreements with a potential value of more than \$1.4 billion; this is the third consecutive year in which Superfund enforcement agreements achieved over \$1 billion in clean-up commitments. The Agency and PRPs have now started more than 3,040 removal actions, including 380 during FY92. Federal facility accomplishments have shown dramatic increases; 104 of the federal facility sites on the NPL are now covered by interagency agreements for clean-up activities. EPA also continued to encourage public involvement in the Superfund process, to enhance partnerships with states and Indian tribes, and to encourage the use and development of treatment technologies.

In addition to providing an overall perspective on progress in the past fiscal year, this Report contains the information Congress specifically requested in Section 301(h) of CERCLA, including a report on the status of remedial actions and enforcement activity in progress at the end of the fiscal year and an evaluation of newly developed feasible and achievable treatment technologies. The Report also includes a description of current minority firm participation in Superfund contracts and EPA's efforts to encourage their increased participation,

Foreword (continued)

as required by Section 105(f). The Report fulfills the requirement of Section 301(h)(1)(E) for an annual update on progress being made at sites subject to review under Section 121(c). Appendix D consists of a matrix that charts the progress of EPA and other government organizations in meeting Superfund-related statutory requirements. This Report also satisfies other reporting requirements of Section 121(c); the EPA Annual Report to Congress: Progress Toward Implementing CERCLA at EPA Facilities as Required by CERCLA Section 120(e)(5). The EPA Inspector General's report on the reasonableness and accuracy of the information in this Report, as required by CERCLA Section 301(h)(2), is included as Appendix E.

Appendix G is included to give an overall summary of the Superfund Program in fiscal years 1992 through 1994.

Carol M. Browner Administrator

Timothy Fields, Jr.
Acting Assistant Administrator for
Solid Waste and Emergency Response

Acknowledgments

The Environmental Protection Agency appreciates the contributions made by staff members throughout the Agency's management and program offices, as well as other federal agencies and departments. Within the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, which manages the Superfund program, contributors included: Jim Fary (project manager), Gayle Dye, Dave Evans, Linda Garczysnki, Rafael Gonzalez, Justin Karp, James Maas, Jim McMaster, Caroline Previ, Robin Richardson, Michelle Whitehead, and Ed Ziomkoski, from the Office of Program Management; Henry L. Longest, II, and Betti VanEpps, from the Office of Emergency and Remedial Response; Barbara Hostage, Dave Lopez, and Esther Williford, from the Emergency Response Division; George Alderson, Kirby Briggs, Hugo Fleischman, Jo Ann Griffith, Diana J. Hammer, Carol Jacobson, Jeff Langholz, Kenneth Lovelace, Shahid Mahmud, Carolyn Offutt, Bill Ross, and Melissa Shapiro, from the Hazardous Site Control Division; Barbara Bach, Susan Griffin, Jim Konz, Lisa Matthews, Delores Rodgers-Smith, Chuck Sands, and Suzanne Wells, from the Hazardous Site Evaluation Division; Scott Blair and Pat Kennedy, from the Office of Waste Programs Enforcement; and Jeff Heimerman and Meg Kelly, from the Technology Innovation Office.

Additional key contributions from other Environmental Protection Agency offices were provided by: Betty Bailey, Jonathon Cannon, and Elizabeth Craig, Office of Acquisition Management; Howard Wilson, Office of Administration and Resources Management; Deborah Banks, Maryann Froelich, Stacey Greendlinger, and Tony Wolbarst, Office of Air and Radiation; Steve Herman, Linda Rutsch, Augusta Wills, and Jim Woolford, Office of Enforcement; Earl Salo and Lee Tyner, Office of General Counsel; Stuart Miles-McLean, Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation; Steven James, John Martin, Richard Nalesnik, Peter Preuss, and Louis Swaby, Office of Research and Development; and George Mori and Becky Neer, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization.

Contributions from other federal agencies and departments were provided by: Dr. William Cibulas, Jose Irizarry, and Dr. Ralph O'Connor, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry; Keith Frye, Department of Energy; Lt. Col. Steve Walker, Department of Defense; and Mary Morton, Department of Interior.

Contents

Notio	e		ii
Ackn	owledgn	nents	v
		nmary	
Char	ntar 1· A	.ccelerating Cleanup	1
Cnap	out I. A	ccccrating Ceanup	1
1.1		ving Cleanups	
1.2	Super	fund Accelerated Clean-Up Model	
	1.2.1	Single, Continuous Site Assessment	
	1.2.2	Regional Decision Teams	
	1.2.3	Early Actions to Reduce Immediate Risks	5
	1.2.4	Long-Term Actions to Restore the Environment	5
	1.2.5	Implementation	6
1.3	Other	Efforts to Accelerate the Pace of Cleanup	7
	1.3.1	Standardizing Remedy Planning and Selection	7
	1.3.2	Shortening the Remedial Design Phase	8
	1.3.3	Resolving Issues that Cause Delays	
	1.3.4	Accelerating the Pace of PRP Cleanups	9
Chap	oter 2: N	Major Initiatives	11
2.1	The S	uperfund Revitalization Office	11
2.2		oting Consistency in Risk Assessment and Risk Management	
	2.2.1	Risk Assessment Initiatives	11
	2.2.2	Risk Management Initiatives	
2.3	Advar	ncing the Use of Innovative Treatment Technologies	
	2.3.1	Increasing the Availability of Cost and Performance Data	
	2.3.2	Centralizing Access to Information	
	2.3.3	Overcoming Regulatory Barriers	16
	2.3.4	Providing Technical Support	
2.4	Impro	Improving Agency Contracting	
	2.4.1	Improving Contract Management and Accountability	
	2.4.2	Eliminating Excess Contract Capacity	19
	2.4.3	Controlling Costs	19
	2.4.4	Securing Quality Work from Contractors	
2.5		ncing Communications	
	2.5.1	Improving Measures of Superfund Success	
	2.5.2		

Chap	pter 3: Site Evaluation Accomplishments	23
3.1	Site Assessment	23
	3.1.1 The Inventory of Sites (CERCLIS)	23
	3.1.2 Preliminary Assessments	
	3.1.3 Site Inspections	25
3.2	National Priorities List	26
	3.2.1 National Priorities List Update	26
	3.2.2 Relationship between CERCLIS and NPL Data	26
3.3	The Lead Program	26
	3.3.1 The Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model	26
	3.3.2 Three City Lead Study	27
3.4	The Radiation Program	
	3.4.1 Superfund Program Guidance	
	3.4.2 Technology Demonstration and Evaluation	28
	3.4.3 Regional Assistance	
3.5	Guidance Documents	29
Chap	pter 4: Emergency Response Accomplishments	31
4.1	The Removal Action Process	31
4.2	Progress in Addressing Immediate Threats	
	4.2.1 Status Report on Removal Actions	
	4.2.2 Expanding the Use of Removal Authority	
4.3	Environmental Response Team	
4.4	Emergency Response Guidance and Rulemaking	
	4.4.1 Superfund Removal Procedures Manual	
	4.4.2 Reportable Quantity Regulatory Program	
Chap	pter 5: Remedial Accomplishments	39
5.1	Remedial Progress	39
	5.1.1 The Remedial Process	39
	5.1.2 Fiscal Year Accomplishments	41
	5.1.3 Status of Remedial and Enforcement Activities in Progress	
5.2	Remedy Selection	
5.3	Remedial Initiatives	
5.4	Use and Development of Treatment Technologies	
	5.4.1 The Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation Program	
	5.4.2 Superfund Research Grants	
	5.4.3 Technical Assistance, Expert Advice, and Information Transfer	
5 5	Report on Facilities Subject to Review Under CFRCI A Section 121(c)	

Chap	ter 6:	Enforcement Accomplishments	55
6.1	The I	Enforcement Process	55
6.2	Fiscal	Year 1992 Accomplishments	56
	6.2.1	Settlements for Response Activities	56
	6.2.2	PRP Participation in Clean-Up Activities	56
	6.2.3	Cost Recovery Achievements	57
6.3	Succe	ss in Reaching and Enforcing Agreements with PRPs	58
	6.3.1	Consent Decrees for Remedial Design/Remedial Action	58
	6.3.2	Unilateral Administrative Orders	60
	6.3.3	Consent Decrees for Cost Recovery	61
	6.3.4	De Minimis Settlement Under CERCLA Section 122(g)	63
6.4	Enfor	cement Initiatives	64
	6.4.1	Enforcement Under the Superfund Accelerated Clean-Up Model	64
	6.4.2	Early De Minimis Guidance	
	6.4.3	Final Lender Liability Rule	65
	6.4.4	Cost Recovery Initiatives	65
Chap	ter 7: 1	Federal Facility Cleanups	67
7.1	Feder	al Facility Responsibility Under CERCLA	67
	7.1.1	Facility Responsibilities	67
	7.1.2	EPA'S Oversight Role	67
	7.1.3	The Role of States and Indian Tribes	68
7.2	Progr	ess at Federal Facility Sites	68
	7.2.1	Federal Agency Hazardous Waste Compliance Docket	69
	7.2.2	Progress Toward Cleaning Up Federal Facilities on the NPL	70
	7.2.3	Federal Facility Agreements Under CERCLA Section 120	70
7.3	Feder	al Facility Initiatives	71
	7.3.1	Base Closure	71
	7.3.2	Accelerated Cleanups at Federal Facilities	72
	7.3.3	Interagency Forums	
	7.3.4	Innovative Technology Development	72
7.4	CERO	CLA Implementation at EPA Facilities	73
	7.4.1	Requirements of CERCLA Section 120(e)(5)	73
	7.4.2	Progress in Cleaning Up EPA Facilities Subject to Section 120 of CERCLA	
Chap	oter 8:	Superfund Program Support Activities	77
8.1	Comr	nunity Relations and Technical Assistance Grants	77
· · · ·	8.1.1	Fiscal Year 1992 Highlights	
	8.1.2	Technical Assistance Grants Under CERCLA Section 117(e)	
8.2		ordinated Approach to Public Information	

8.3	EPA's	Partnership with States and Indian Tribes	81
	8.3.1	Response Agreements and Core Program Cooperative Agreements	
	8.3.2	Fiscal Year 1992 Highlights	83
8.4	Minor	ity Firm Participation in Superfund Contracting	84
	8.4.1	Minority Firm Contracting During Fiscal Year 1992	
	8.4.2	EPA Efforts to Identify Qualified Minority Firms	
	8.4.3	Efforts to Encourage Other Federal Departments and Agencies	
		to Use Minority Contractors	85
	8.4.4	Publications of Interest to Minority Contractors	
Chap	ter 9: E	Stimate of Resources	87
9.1	Source	e and Application of Superfund Resources	88
	9.1.1	Estimating the Scope of Cleanup	
	9.1.2	PRP Contributions to the Clean-Up Effort	
9.2	Estima	ated Resources to Complete Current NPL Sites	
	9.2.1	Estimated Cost to Complete Existing NPL Sites	
	9.2.2	Program Element Assumptions Represented in the Model	
9.3	Estima	ates of Resources Necessary for Other Executive Branch Departments	
		gencies to Complete Superfund Implementation	92
Арре	endices		
Appe	ndix A	Status of Remedial Investigations, Feasibility Studies, and Remedial Actions at sites on the National Priorities List in Progress on September 30, 1992	
Appe	ndix B	Remedial Designs in Progress on September 30, 1992	
• •			
Appe	ndix C	List of Records of Decision	C-1
Appe	ndix D	Progress Toward Meeting Superfund-Related Statutory Requirements	D-1
Appe	ndix E	Report of the EPA Inspector General	E-1
Appe	ndix F	List of Sources	F-1
Appe	ndix G	Summary of the Superfund Program [1992-1994]	G-1

Exhibits

E-lala EC 1	Communication of Figure 1 Vision 1000 Communication 1 Assessment	
Exhibit ES-1	Summary of Fiscal Year 1992 Superfund Activities	
Exhibit ES-2	Summary of Program Activity by Fiscal Year	XV
Exhibit ES-3	Statutory Requirements for the Report	
Exhibit 1.1-1	Superfund Sites in the Construction Completion Category	
Exhibit 1.2-1	Superfund Accelerated Clean-Up Model	
Exhibit 2.1-1	Superfund Revitalization Office Structure	
Exhibit 2.3-1	Development of Innovative Technologies	15
Exhibit 3.1-1	Sites Added to CERCLIS	
Exhibit 3.1-2	Preliminary Assessments Fiscal Year Comparison	
Exhibit 3.1-3	Site Inspections Fiscal Year Comparison	
Exhibit 3.2-1	Final NPL Sites for Fiscal Year 1987 Through Fiscal Year 1992	
Exhibit 4.1-1	Typical Removal Response Actions	
Exhibit 4.2-1	Removal Action Starts	
Exhibit 4.2-2	Removal Action Completions	
Exhibit 5.1-1	Work Has Occurred at Most National Priorities List Sites	40
Exhibit 5.1-2	Remedial Accomplishments Under the Superfund Program	
	for Fiscal Year 1980 Through Fiscal Year 1992	41
Exhibit 5.1-3	Comparison of Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Starts	42
Exhibit 5.1-4	Comparison of Remedial Design Starts	
Exhibit 5.1-5	Comparison of Remedial Action Starts	
Exhibit 5.1-6	Projects in Progress at National Priorities List Sites by Lead	
	for Fiscal Year 1991 and Fiscal Year 1992	44
Exhibit 5.2-1	Summary of Remedies Selected in Fiscal Year 1992 Records of Decision	
Exhibit 5.2-2	Percentage Distribution of Remedies Selected in Fiscal Year 1992	
	Records of Decision	46
Exhibit 5.4-1	Innovative Technologies in the Emerging Technology Program	
Exhibit 5.4-2	Innovative Technologies in the Demonstration Program	
Exhibit 6.2-1	Estimated Value of PRP Response Settlements	57
Exhibit 6.2-2	Increase in the Percentage of Remedial Designs and Remedial Actions	
	Started by PRPs since the Enactment of SARA	58
Exhibit 6.2-3	Cost Recovery Settlements	
Exhibit 6.2-4	Cost Recovery Collections	
Exhibit 7.2-1	Number of Federal Facilities on the Hazardous Waste	
	Compliance Docket	69
Exhibit 7.2-2	Distribution of Federal Facilities on the Hazardous	00
Limbit 1.2 2	Waste Compliance Docket	70
Exhibit 7.4-1	Status of EPA Facilities on the Federal Agency Hazardous	10
LAMBOR 1.1 1	Waste Compliance Docket	75
Exhibit 8.1-1	Number of Technical Assistance Grants Awarded from Fiscal Year 1988	13
LAMBIL U.1-1	Through Fiscal Vear 1992	80

Exhibit 8.4-1	Minority Contract Utilization During Fiscal Year 1992	85
Exhibit 8.4-2	Amount of Money Awarded to Minority Firms Through	
	Direct Procurement	86
Exhibit 8.4-3	Services Provided by Minority Contractors	86
Exhibit 9.1-1	EPA Superfund Obligations	
Exhibit 9.2-1	Estimate of Total Trust Fund Liability to Complete Cleanup at Sites on	
	the National Priorities List	90
Exhibit 9.3-1	CERCLA Resource Needs and Interagency Funding for	
	Other Federal Departments and Agencies	93